



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/544,121 | 04/06/2000 | David A. Evans | 940630-010-018 | 7647 |
| 7590 11/12/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Blaney Harper Esq | | | LY, ANH | |
| Jones Day Reav | is & Pogue | | | |
| 51 Louisiana Avenue NW | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20001-2113 | | | 2162 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/544,121 | EVANS ET AL. | | | |
| navioury notion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Anh Ly | 2162 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09/30/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | • | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: Newly added to claims 1, 9 and 22 require | re further consideration and search. | · | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-22 and 25-33</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | |
| | \mathcal{L} | 1 | | | |
| | JEANA PRIMA | ACORFIELUS RY EXAMINER | | | |